

593 Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 JUN 2000

FORMS PTO-1390
(REV 10-96)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

Allen 1-2-1

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)

60/059,662 **09/555993**

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.

PCT/US98/18785

INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE

10 September 1998

PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED

25 September 1997

TITLE OF INVENTION

DRAW CONSTANT DOWNFEEED PROCESS

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

Corning Incorporated

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C.371.
3. ☐ This express request to being national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☐ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))
 - a. ☐ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☒ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☐ A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
7. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
 - a. ☒ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
 - b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
 - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
 - d. ☐ have not been made and will not be made.
8. ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
9. ☐ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).
10. ☐ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).

Items 11. To 16. Below concern document(s) or information included:

11. ☒ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
12. ☐ An Assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
13. ☐ A FIRST preliminary amendment.
14. ☐ A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.
15. ☒ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
16. ☒ Other items or information: Petition for Revival of an Application for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)

DRAW CONSTANT DOWNFEED PROCESS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention relates to optical waveguide fibers, and more particularly, to methods for drawing an optical fiber from an optical fiber perform whereby the fiber exhibits a more uniform mode field diameter (MFD) and reduced polarization mode dispersion (PMD).

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 In the manufacture of optical fiber, a glass core preform is made which typically comprises SiO_2 , the axial portion of which is doped with a compound such as GeO_2 to increase the refractive index. When a fiber is drawn from the glass preform, the doped region will provide the light transmission portion or core of the fiber.

15 The above described process is well known in the art and will not be described in further detail. To obtain optical fiber the glass preform or blank is fed into a draw furnace heated to a melting temperature, and a small gob of glass, with a trailing fiber,

As fiber is drawn from a blank, the blank is fed into the furnace, and fiber diameter is closely monitored. Control of fiber diameter is generally

Typically, a fiber diameter measuring device is located just below the furnace outlet to measure the fiber diameter. The measured diameter is compared to a nominal diameter value and a signal is generated to either increase the tractor speed (thus decreasing the fiber diameter), or decrease the tractor speed (thus increasing the fiber diameter.)

In the 1970's and throughout the mid 1980's, blanks from which fiber was drawn were relatively small. Draw speeds did not exceed about 8 or 9 meters per second. Because of the blank size and draw speeds used, fiber diameter was controlled by varying the tractor speed while maintaining the furnace temperature and blank feed rate relatively constant.

In the mid- 1980's a new process control strategy was developed and introduced as a result of ever increasing draw speeds. Specifically, as draw speeds approached 10 meters/sec., those skilled in the art abandoned the use of constant downfeed rates. More specifically, it was believed that in order to achieve adequate control at high draw speeds, i.e. speeds approaching and in excess of 10 meters/sec., it was necessary to resort to a cascade or two-level process control strategy whereby, in response to an error signal indicating that the actual or measured fiber diameter was not equal to the desired diameter, there would be both a change in the draw speed and a change in the downfeed rate of the blank into the draw furnace. For example, if the measured fiber diameter was greater than the desired fiber diameter, then the control system would increase the tractor speed and, at the same time, decrease the rate at which the blank was fed into the draw furnace. This control philosophy reflected the belief that when operating a fiber draw process at a speed greater than 8-9 meters/sec., it was necessary to vary the blank

In view of the disadvantages in the art, it would be desirable to provide a method for maintaining or increasing MFD uniformity while at the same time reducing PMD. There is an explicit need for such when drawing optical fiber at high draw rates, i.e. greater than 10 meters/second, which may contribute to increased downfeed oscillation in the root, thereby increasing PMD in the fiber.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, the present invention is directed to a method for the high speed drawing of optical fiber that alleviates one or more of the problems due to limitations and disadvantages of the related prior art. The principal advantage of the present invention is the provision of a method for controlling the diameter of a drawn optical fiber while reducing PMD in the fiber and maintaining uniform MFD when drawing the fiber at high speed. The method comprises drawing fiber at a high speed while keeping the blank downfeed rate constant. It is believed that constant downfeed rate avoids oscillation of the blank root in the furnace which causes variability in the core shape during fiber formation. Such variations are believed to contribute to poor PMD and MFD in the final fiber.

To achieve these and other advantages and in accordance with the purpose of the invention, as embodied and broadly described, the invention is a method for reducing polarization mode dispersion in drawn optical fiber comprising the steps of feeding an optical fiber preform of a predetermined size into a furnace at a predetermined downfeed rate, drawing an optical fiber from the optical fiber preform at a draw rate of at least 10 meters per second, and varying the draw rate to maintain a substantially constant fiber diameter while maintaining the predetermined downfeed rate constant. Preferably, the draw rate is greater than 14 meters per second and most preferably, greater than 20 meters per second.

In a preferred embodiment, the downfeed rate is constant for a first zone or range of draw speeds and is then changed to a different constant downfeed rate for a second zone or range of draw speeds. As the draw speed varies in each zone, the downfeed rate remains constant within each zone. In addition, the downfeed rate may be different for each zone. The method may also include the step of decreasing the downfeed rate as the draw rate changes from one zone to another having a higher rate of draw speeds, or increasing the downfeed rate as the draw rate changes from one to another

having a lower range of draw speeds. The invention may also include the step of spinning the fiber as it is being drawn to further reduce PMD.

In accordance with another embodiment of the invention, a method for drawing optical fiber from an optical fiber preform is provided, comprising the steps of feeding the optical fiber preform of a predetermined size into a draw furnace at a constant downfeed rate and drawing optical fiber from the optical fiber preform at a draw rate of at least 10 meters per second. The method further comprises the steps of measuring the drawn fiber diameter and generating a signal representative of the measured diameter and comparing the generated signal to a nominal fiber diameter. A second signal representative of the difference of the comparison is generated and used to vary the draw rate to adjust the drawn fiber diameters. The method also includes the step of sensing the draw rate to determine if it is within a zone of predetermined speeds and changing the downfeed rate to another predetermined rate if the sensed draw rate is outside of the zone. The downfeed rate is constant for a first zone or range of draw speeds and is then changed to a different constant downfeed rate for a second zone or range of draw speeds. Preferably, the downfeed rate is maintained constant within each zone and as the draw rate is varied between the plurality of zones, the downfeed rate is change accordingly. The method according to this embodiment may include the further step of spinning the optical fiber as it is drawn.

It is to be understood that both the foregoing general description and the following detailed description are exemplary and explanatory and are intended to provide further explanation of the invention as claimed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a schematic diagram of a fiber drawing apparatus.

30

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention is directed to method for reducing polarization mode dispersion in drawn optical fiber wherein an optical fiber preform of a predetermined size is fed into a furnace at a predetermined downfeed rate. Preferably, the downfeed rate is kept constant throughout the entire draw process in order to minimize oscillation of the preform root in the furnace in order to maintain MFD uniformity and reduce PMD in the drawn optical fiber.

Fig. 1 illustrates a well known optical fiber draw system, designated generally by reference numeral 1. Preform 10 disposed vertically in muffle 11 of a draw furnace. Preform 10 includes a handle (not shown) that attaches to a holding device (not shown) in a known manner. The holding device is part of preform feed drive 22, which controls the rate at which preform 10 is fed into the furnace. Heating element 12 supplies heat to at least the bottom portion of preform 10. The temperature of heating element 12 is controlled by temperature controller 49 in a known manner. After a well known start up procedure is employed, preform feed drive 22 feeds preform 10 into the furnace. As preform 10 is fed into the furnace, the end portion of preform 10, commonly referred to as the root, melts and fiber 14 is drawn from root portion 13 of preform 10 by tractor 20.

After leaving muffle 11, fiber 14 passes through diameter monitor 15 which produces a signal that is used in a feedback control loop to regulate the speed of tractor 20 and preform feed drive 22, as well as to regulate temperature in the furnace through temperature controller 49. After diameter monitor 15, fiber 14 passes through a cooling tube 17 and a coater 18 by which a curable protective coating is applied to fiber 14. The coated fiber may also pass through a coating curing apparatus and if desired additional coaters (not shown). The feedback control of preform feed drive 22, tractor drive 21 and temperature controller 49 can be implemented by known control algorithms. Tractor drive 21 is provided with an input from control algorithm 48 which is part of draw control computer 47. Given the demand for optical fiber, it is advantageous to run tractor 20 at a rate of at least 10 meters per second.

According to another aspect of the invention, the method may comprise the further steps of sensing the draw rate to determine if it is within a zone of predetermined speed and varying the downfeed rate if the sensed draw rate is outside of the zone. In this embodiment, a draw rate sensor (not shown) continually monitors draw rate at draw control computer 47. If the draw speed changes from one zone to another, control algorithm 48 sends a signal to preform feed drive 22 to increase or decrease the downfeed rate to the predetermined constant rate associate with the zone of draw speed.

The present inventive method also includes the step of varying the draw rate in response to the measured fiber diameter to maintain a substantially constant fiber diameter while maintaining the predetermined downfeed rate constant. In order to maintain a constant fiber diameter, fiber 14 is constantly monitored by diameter monitor 15. Diameter monitor 15 produces a signal representative of the measured fiber diameter. That signal is sent to draw control computer 47. At draw computer 47, the measured signal is compared to a predetermined nominal fiber diameter value. A second signal is generated based on any difference between the measured fiber diameter value. The second signal sent to the tractor drive 21 and the tractor speed is varied to maintain a constant fiber diameter. This process is carried out hundreds of times per minute and the downfeed rate remains constant throughout the draw process during all ranges of tractor speed.

It may also be advantageous to spin the fiber as it is drawn. Spin in fiber has been demonstrated to further reduce PMD. Various methods and apparatus have been developed to impart spin in a fiber as it is drawn. Reference is made to commonly assigned and co-pending U.S. Patent Applications Nos. 08/858,836 and 08/784,574 and PCT application no. PCT/US97/02541; and U.S. Patent No. 5,298,047, for a more detailed understanding of methods and apparatus used for spinning fiber, each of which is herein incorporated by reference.

The advantages associated with the invention are numerous. In the prior art draw systems, fiber diameter is controlled by tractor speed. The control loop involves a two step process control at the draw. If the tractor speed varies, the downfeed rate responds to variation in tractor speed. Although not wanting to be bound by any theory or explanation as to why the present invention functions, we believe that this in turn produces an oscillation of root 13 in the furnace. It is believed that oscillation of the root portion of preform 10 in the furnace causes variability in the core shape of the draw optical fiber and that the variations in core shape lead to higher PMD and nonuniformity in MFD, both of which adversely affect fiber performance.

5

10

are intended to be exemplary of the invention.

15

20

rate), are shown in Table 1 below:

As Table 2 shows, there is a significant reduction in PMD in fibers drawn according to the present invention as compared to the fibers drawn

according to a standard process. MFD uniformity is also significantly improved.

It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various modifications and variations can be made in the method of the present invention which are
5 nevertheless within the scope of the appended claims and their equivalents.

CLAIMS

We Claim:

1. A method for reducing polarization mode dispersion in drawn optical fiber comprising the steps of:

5 feeding an optical fiber preform of a predetermined size into a furnace at a predetermined downfeed rate;

drawing an optical fiber from the optical fiber preform at a draw rate of at least 10 meters per second; and

10 varying the draw rate to maintain a substantially constant fiber diameter while maintaining the predetermined downfeed rate constant.

2. A method for reducing polarization mode dispersion in drawn optical fiber according to claim 1, wherein the draw rate is greater than 14 meters per second.

15 3. A method for reducing polarization mode dispersion in drawn optical fiber according to claim 2, wherein the draw rate is varied between about 14 and 20 meters per second.

20 4. A method for reducing polarization mode dispersion in drawn optical fiber according to claim 1, wherein the draw rate is varied between about 14 and 20 meters per second.

25 5. A method for reducing polarization mode dispersion in drawn optical fiber according to claim 4, further comprising the step of defining at least one zone of draw speeds.

30 6. A method for reducing polarization mode dispersion in drawn optical fiber according to claim 5, wherein as the draw speed varies in each zone, the downfeed rate remains constant within each zone.

- varying the draw rate to maintain a substantially constant fiber diameter.

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

0655993 100500




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : C03B 37/02, 37/025, 37/07		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/15470
			(43) International Publication Date: 1 April 1999 (01.04.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/18785		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, <u>US</u> , VN, YU, ZW, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) International Filing Date: 10 September 1998 (10.09.98)			
(30) Priority Data: 60/059,662 25 September 1997 (25.09.97) US			
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): CORNING INCORPORATED [US/US]; 1 Riverfront Plaza, Corning, NY 14831 (US).		Published With international search report.	
(72) Inventors; and			
(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): ALLEN, Martin, W. [US/US]; 2214 Graylyn Terrace, Wilmington, NC 28405 (US); MASKINS, Lori, L. [US/US]; 702 Owens Court, Wilmington, NC 28412 (US); RUGER, Lisa, M. [US/US]; 7104 Robmar Court, Wilmington, NC 28405 (US).			
(74) Agent: HERZFELD, Alexander, R.; Patent Dept., SP FR 02-12, Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY 14831 (US).			

(54) Title: DRAW CONSTANT DOWNFEED PROCESS


(57) Abstract

Optical fiber (14) is drawn from a preform (10) that is fed to a furnace at a constant downfeed rate. The optical fiber (14) is drawn by a tractor (20) at a rate of at least 10 meters per second and the tractor (20) is allowed to vary the draw speed of the fiber (14) based on the fiber diameter as measured by a diameter monitor (15) during the draw processing in order to maintain a relatively constant fiber diameter. Maintaining the preform downfeed rate constant even at high draw rates in excess of 20 meters per second does not adversely effect the draw process and is believed to reduce or eliminate oscillations in the draw control loop that can cause variations in the core shape during fiber formation, resulting in reduced PMD and improved MFD uniformity.



1/1

FIG. 1



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LIBRARY

My residence, Post Office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, on the same subject matter, having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35 United States Code § 119(e) and § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35 United States Code § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

DECLARATION IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION

Attorney Docket No. Allen 1-2-1

Full Name of Inventor:

Martin W. Allen

Resident Address:

3214 Graylyn Terrace, Wilmington, North Carolina 28411


Post Office Address:

same

NC

Citizenship:

UNITED STATES

DATE: 7/28/2000
Martin W. Allen

Full Name of Inventor:

Lori L. Haskins

Resident Address:

702 Owens Court, Wilmington, North Carolina 28412

Post Office Address:

same

NC

Citizenship:

UNITED STATES

DATE: 8-4-00
Lori L. Haskins

Full Name of Inventor:

Lisa R. DeJoseph

Resident Address:

7104 Robmar Court, Wilmington, North Carolina 28411

Post Office Address:

same

Citizenship:

UNITED STATES

DATE: 8/2/00
Lisa R. DeJoseph

(Formerly Lisa M. Ruger)